

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/816,756	Applicant(s) CHAN ET AL.	
	Examiner Matthew Heneghan	Art Unit 2139	

All Participants:
 (1) Matthew Heneghan.
 (2) Robert Bain.
Date of Interview: 22 February 2008

Status of Application: Pending
 (3) _____.
 (4) _____.
Time: 12:05 PM EST

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
17

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The Examiner proposed an amendment to claim 17 to bring the case to allowability. Attorney Bain agreed to the amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Matthew Heneghan/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2139

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)